**Solutions Analysis (Narrative Format)**

This analysis formally proposes the integrated solution for the Arborwood Cost Calculator project ("The Gateway" initiative), connecting organizational needs to technical architecture and addressing critical risks, legal mandates, and ethical responsibilities.

**I. Proposed Solutions and Architecture (Competencies 1, 2)**

The solution to Arborwood’s problem is two-fold, recognizing that the challenge is equally one of **technical execution**and **governance risk**. The first component is the **Compliance Gateway**, a mandatory, non-technical checkpoint requiring formal legal and compliance sign-off after requirements are defined but before development begins, which directly mitigates the risk of statutory violations. The second component is the **Real-Time API Integration**, which replaces manual data entry with a direct, automated, and secure **RESTful API** call to the core Student Administration System (SAS).

**Model and Architecture**

The proposed architectural model is a **Microservice Architecture** centered around an **API Gateway** pattern. This robust approach adheres to the **Principle of Separation of Concerns**, successfully isolating the user-facing front-end component from the sensitive, transaction-critical data sources held within the SAS. Specifically, the front-end will be a new component residing within the **Adobe Experience Manager (AEM)** content management system, handling the user interface and calculation display logic. A custom-built, lightweight **RESTful API** will act as the data conduit, retrieving real-time information from the SAS based on the user's inputs (such as program or credential level). This architecture ensures the **Single Source of Truth (SSOT)** principle is maintained, guaranteeing the calculator always pulls the most current, official cost data directly from the SAS.

**Technology Recommendation and Principles**

The recommendation is to develop the API layer using a modern, scalable framework such as Node.js or Python, hosting it within a secure, segmented cloud environment like AWS Lambda or Azure Functions to ensure high availability and isolation. This solution is supported by three specific IT principles. **Data Integrity** is strictly enforced by the SSOT principle, which eliminates the potential for manual data entry errors. **Security** is maintained through strict adherence to the **Principle of Least Privilege**, ensuring the API only has read-only access to the minimum necessary financial data fields in the SAS. Finally, **Resilience** is achieved by utilizing the microservice pattern, which ensures the calculator’s availability is decoupled and protected from instability in other monolithic systems.

**II. Needs, Industry Alignment, and Stakeholder Concerns**

The integrated solution directly addresses core organizational problems and key stakeholder anxieties while ensuring Arborwood maintains alignment with industry best practices.

**Addressing Organizational Needs and Stakeholder Concerns**

For **Legal/Compliance**, the most pressing concern is the high **risk of statutory violation and regulatory penalty** under the Higher Education Act (HEA). This is addressed by the **Compliance Gateway**, which ensures formal, documented legal oversight occurs before significant capital is committed to development. **Information Technology (IT)**’s concerns—namely **technical debt, manual data entry risk, and system fragility**—are mitigated by the **API Integration**, which replaces risky manual processes with a maintainable, secure, and resilient data service, ultimately reducing future maintenance overhead. Lastly, for **Marketing/Enrollment**, the solution resolves the **high friction point in the enrollment funnel and lack of cost transparency**. The provision of **Real-Time Data** gives prospects the accurate, personalized estimate they need, removing a major barrier to conversion.

**Connection to Global IT Trends**

The entire solution aligns Arborwood with the global IT trend of **Real-Time Data Ecosystems**. The implementation of a dedicated API layer is a necessary step towards building a modern, integrated **Enterprise Architecture**. This strategic move away from outdated file transfers and manual processes towards secure, instantaneous data calls is mandatory for competing in the higher education industry, where prospective students expect the same instant, accurate data seen in the financial services or e-commerce sectors. This adoption of a **Cloud-Native approach to data integration** [Insert Institutional Context Here: *e.g., which aligns with Arborwood's broader cloud migration strategy.*] positions Arborwood as a technological leader in its sector.

**III. Legal, Ethical, and Policy Analysis (Competencies 4)**

**Legal Issues and Resolution**

The primary legal issue is the mandated **compliance with the Higher Education Act (HEA), 20 U.S.C. § 1094**, which requires accurate financial disclosures, such as the Net Price Calculator. Failure to maintain data accuracy exposes the institution to regulatory scrutiny and significant financial penalties. The core resolution strategy is the **Legal/Compliance Gateway**, which operationalizes legal compliance by requiring the legal team to certify the calculation methodology and required disclaimers *before* the code is written, effectively embedding legal sign-off into the project lifecycle.

**Ethical Issues and Resolution**

The project is rooted in a clear ethical imperative of **equity and transparency**. Academic research clearly indicates that the current lack of clear financial information disproportionately hinders the enrollment of minority and low-income students. The solution, driven by the need for **maximum transparency and personalization**, addresses this ethical issue directly. The calculator acts as a tool for social equity, empowering at-risk populations with the precise information needed to make informed educational decisions. This alignment is central to Arborwood’s mission to [Insert Institutional Context Here: *e.g., improve financial and social mobility through its programs.*]

**Policy Issues and Potential Conflict**

The most significant policy challenge is the potential conflict between the **existing manual data maintenance policy** and the **new automated data flow** via the API. The manual policy creates regulatory risk, and the automated solution renders the policy obsolete. To mitigate this, the project must result in the creation of a new **Data Governance Policy** that formally designates the SAS as the SSOT for tuition rates and establishes clear ownership and validation processes for the new API endpoints. This proactive measure prevents a policy vacuum that could otherwise allow data errors to propagate and undermine the project's compliance goals.

**IV. Risk Analysis and Minimization (Competencies 6)**

The project, while essential, carries specific implementation risks that must be systematically managed through proactive mitigation strategies.

One critical risk is **SME Resource Constraints**, as the project is dependent on the time and availability of Subject Matter Experts (SMEs) from the Student Administration team for defining and validating the precise API endpoints. If these resources are denied, **data integrity will be compromised**. The strategy to minimize this involves **Executive Sponsorship and Allocation**, securing formal executive sign-off for dedicated SME bandwidth (e.g., 20% allocation for 8 weeks), with this risk being monitored weekly in the Project Steering Committee.

A second major risk is **Data Integrity Failure**, where the API is incorrectly coded, leading to inaccurate data, which would result in a regulatory violation. This is minimized through the **Compliance Gateway Sign-Off**, which mandates final legal and IT validation of all calculation use cases and data mappings *before* the code freeze. Furthermore, automated unit and integration testing of the API will be implemented to ensure 100% data fidelity against source systems.

Finally, there is the risk of **Legacy System Dependency**, where the API's success relies on the stability and availability of the legacy SAS. This must be addressed by formalizing **Contractual SLAs** (Service Level Agreements) with the SAS operations team to guarantee minimum API uptime (e.g., 99.9%). The API architecture will also implement failover mechanisms to serve securely cached data during unavoidable SAS downtime.

This systemic approach ensures that the project’s high-level risk of regulatory non-compliance is broken down into manageable technical and governance risks, each addressed by a specific, proactive mitigation strategy.